

# Hodge Classes in the Cohomology of Local Systems

Xiaojiang Cheng

Washington University in St. Louis

December 7, 2023

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Cohomology Theories
- 3 Cohomological Representations
- 4 Saito-Kurokawa Liftings
- 5 Geometric Applications

## Conjecture (Hodge conjecture)

*Let  $X$  be a non-singular complex projective manifold. Then every Hodge class on  $X$  is a linear combination with rational coefficients of the cohomology classes of complex subvarieties of  $X$ .*

The codimension-1 case is the Lefschetz theorem on  $(1,1)$  classes. This (and its dual) is the only known case in general.

# Some strategies

- Inspired by Lefschetz's original approach, Griffiths-Green program studies normal functions arising from fibering a Hodge class out over a base. In particular, they study the singularities of (admissible) normal functions.
- Voisin pointed out that one could break the Hodge conjecture into a question about the absoluteness of Hodge classes and the Hodge conjecture for varieties defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

The Hodge conjecture holds for

- (Mattuck) a general abelian variety,
- (Tate) an abelian variety  $A$  which is isogenous to a product of elliptic curves,
- (Tankeev) a simple abelian variety  $X$  whose dimension is a prime number,
- (Schoen) four-dimensional abelian variety of Weil type with  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_3)$  or  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ .

## Theorem (Deligne)

*Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over an algebraically closed field  $k$ , then every Hodge class is an absolute Hodge class.*

## Some other results

- Special varieties: hypersurfaces of degree one and two, (Zucker) cubic fourfolds, (Murre) unirational fourfolds, intersections of low degree hypersurfaces; (Shioda) certain Fermat varieties.
- Families of varieties: (D.Arapura) universal curves of stable genus two curves.
- Locally symmetric varieties: (Bergeron, Millson, Moeglin, Zhiyuan Li) arithmetic ball quotients, arithmetic manifolds of orthogonal type, moduli space of quasi-polarized  $K3$  surfaces.

We are mainly interested in families of varieties.

# Decomposition Theorem

Let  $X$  be a complex projective manifold. To any pair  $(U, L)$  where  $j : U \rightarrow X$  is a Zariski open subset of  $X$  and  $L$  a local system over  $U$ , we can canonically define a perverse sheaf *intersection complex*  $IC_U(L)$ . The decomposition theorem studies the topological properties of proper maps between algebraic varieties.

## Theorem (Decomposition theorem)

Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper map of complex algebraic varieties. There exists an isomorphism in the constructible bounded derived category  $\mathcal{D}_X$ :

$$Rf_* IC_Y \cong \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_* IC_Y)[-i].$$

Furthermore, the perverse sheaves  ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_* IC_Y)$  are semisimple; i.e.,

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_* IC_Y) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha} IC_{\overline{X_{\alpha}}}(L_{\alpha}).$$

# Decomposition Theorem

Taking hypercohomology of the decomposition theorem, we get:

## Theorem (Decomposition theorem)

*Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper map of varieties. There exists finitely many triples  $(X_\alpha, L_\alpha, d_\alpha)$  made of locally closed, smooth and irreducible algebraic subvarieties  $X_\alpha \subset X$ , semisimple local systems  $L_\alpha$  on  $X_\alpha$  and integer numbers  $d_\alpha$ , such that for every open set  $U \subset X$  there is an isomorphism*

$$IH^r(f^{-1}U) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha} IH^{r-d_\alpha}(U \cap \bar{X}_\alpha, L_\alpha).$$

## Remark

The decomposition is not uniquely defined. But in the case when  $X$  is quasi-projective, one can make distinguished choices that realize the summands as mixed Hodge substructures of a canonical mixed Hodge structure on  $IH^*(Y)$ .



# Zucker's Conjecture

Let  $G$  be a semisimple algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of Hermitian type with the associated Hermitian symmetric domain  $D = G(\mathbb{R})/K$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be an arithmetic subgroup of  $G$  and let  $X := \Gamma \backslash D = \Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})/K$  be a locally symmetric variety. Then  $X$  is a quasi-projective variety with Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{X}$ . Denote by  $i : X \rightarrow \overline{X}$  the natural inclusion map. Let  $(V, \rho)$  be a (rational) representation of  $G$ , it defines a local system  $\mathbb{V}$  over  $X$ . We are interested in the intersection cohomology  $IH^*(\overline{X}, \mathbb{V})$ .

The Hermitian symmetric domain  $D$  is equipped with a canonical Riemannian metric induced from the Killing form of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This metric is  $\Gamma$ -invariant, thus descends to a Riemannian metric over  $X = \Gamma \backslash D$ . We also choose and fix a metric on the local system  $\mathbb{V}$ . The  $L^2$ -cohomology groups  $H_{(2)}^*(X, \mathbb{V})$  are defined to be the cohomology groups of the complex  $(C^\bullet, d)$ , where  $C^k$  is the space of  $\mathbb{V}$ -valued smooth  $k$ -forms over  $X$  such that the form itself and its exterior derivative are both square-integrable; the differential map  $d$  is simply the restriction of the usual exterior differential.

# Zucker's Conjecture

Let  $G$  be a semisimple algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of Hermitian type with the associated Hermitian symmetric domain  $D = G(\mathbb{R})/K$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be an arithmetic subgroup of  $G$  and let  $X := \Gamma \backslash D = \Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})/K$  be a locally symmetric variety. Then  $X$  is a quasi-projective variety with Baily-Borel compactification  $\overline{X}$ . Denote by  $i : X \rightarrow \overline{X}$  the natural inclusion map. Let  $(V, \rho)$  be a (rational) representation of  $G$ , it defines a local system  $\mathbb{V}$  over  $X$ . We are interested in the intersection cohomology  $IH^*(\overline{X}, \mathbb{V})$ .

The Hermitian symmetric domain  $D$  is equipped with a canonical Riemannian metric induced from the Killing form of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This metric is  $\Gamma$ -invariant, thus descends to a Riemannian metric over  $X = \Gamma \backslash D$ . We also choose and fix a metric on the local system  $\mathbb{V}$ . The  $L^2$ -cohomology groups  $H_{(2)}^*(X, \mathbb{V})$  are defined to be the cohomology groups of the complex  $(C^\bullet, d)$ , where  $C^k$  is the space of  $\mathbb{V}$ -valued smooth  $k$ -forms over  $X$  such that the form itself and its exterior derivative are both square-integrable; the differential map  $d$  is simply the restriction of the usual exterior differential.

# Zucker's conjecture

Zucker's conjecture compares the intersection cohomology over  $\bar{X}$  and  $L^2$ -cohomology over  $X$ . It was proved in different ways by Eduard Looijenga and by Leslie Saper and Mark Stern.

## Theorem (Zucker's conjecture)

*As real vector spaces, the intersection cohomology  $IH^*(\bar{X}, \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{R}})$  is isomorphic to the  $L^2$ -cohomology  $H_{(2)}^*(X, \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{R}})$ .*

## Remark

It is natural to ask whether Zucker's conjecture is an isomorphism of Hodge structures. This is an open question.

# Relative Lie algebra cohomology

Let  $G$  be a reductive Lie group with complexified Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Fix a maximal compact subgroup  $K$ , let  $U$  be a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module. The relative Lie algebra cohomology  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, U)$  is defined to be the cohomology of the complex  $(C^\bullet, d)$  where  $C^k = \text{Hom}(\wedge^k(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}), U)$ . The differential map  $d$  is defined as in differential geometry as we can regard elements in Lie algebras as invariant tangent vectors.

Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional representation, a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $U$  is called cohomological with respect to  $V$  if  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K, U \otimes V) \neq 0$ .

# Relative Lie Algebra Cohomology

The  $L^2$ -cohomology groups can be interpreted as relative Lie algebra cohomology groups:

$$H_{(2)}^*(X, \mathbb{V}) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R}))^\infty \otimes V).$$

the  $L^2$ -cohomology groups remain unchanged if we replace  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R}))^\infty$  with  $\mathcal{A}^2(G; \Gamma)$ , the subspace of  $L^2$ -automorphic forms:

$$IH^*(\bar{X}, \mathbb{V}) = H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K, \mathcal{A}^2(G; \Gamma) \otimes V) = \bigoplus_{U_\pi \in \mathcal{A}^2(G; \Gamma)} m_\pi(\Gamma) H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K, U_\pi \otimes V).$$

# Hodge representations

If  $\mathbb{V}$  comes from geometry,  $IH^*(\overline{X}, \mathbb{V})$  is a Hodge structure. We are interested in the possible realizations of local systems as variations of Hodge structures over a locally symmetric variety. Griffiths-Green-Kerr developed the theory of *Hodge representations* to solve this problem.

If  $D$  is Hermitian, the relative Lie algebra cohomology  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K, U_\pi \otimes V)$  has a natural bigrading. To make it compatible with the Hodge structure on the intersection cohomology, we need to do a slightly modification

# Vogan-Zuckerman's Classification

D.Vogan and G.Zuckerman classified the cohomological representations in terms of  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebras. Roughly speaking, cohomological representations should have a minimal  $K$ -representation with respect to a Weyl chamber.

# Some examples

## Example

Discrete series representations are cohomological.

## Example

If  $V$  is regular, the only cohomological representations are discrete series representations.

## Example ( $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ )

- Discrete series representations are cohomological;
- Principal series representations are not cohomological.



# Some calculations

- For  $SU(2, 1)$  or  $SU(3, 1)$ , no cohomological representations contributes to Hodge classes.
- For  $Sp(4)$ , we are interested in a non-tempered cohomological representation  $\sigma_{k+3}$ . It produces Hodge classes in  $H^1(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}_2, \mathbb{V}^{k,k})$

## Question

*How to find the multiplicities of  $\sigma_{k+3}$  in  $\mathcal{A}^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}_2)$ ?*

Automorphic representations.

# Some calculations

- For  $SU(2, 1)$  or  $SU(3, 1)$ , no cohomological representations contributes to Hodge classes.
- For  $Sp(4)$ , we are interested in a non-tempered cohomological representation  $\sigma_{k+3}$ . It produces Hodge classes in  $H^1(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}_2, \mathbb{V}^{k,k})$

## Question

*How to find the multiplicities of  $\sigma_{k+3}$  in  $\mathcal{A}^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}_2)$ ?*

Automorphic representations.

# Classification of Automorphic Representations of $GSp(4)$

Arthur classified automorphic representations of  $GSp(4)$  in terms of  $A$ -packets. There are six types of automorphic representations:

- General type;
- Yoshida type;
- Soudry type;
- Saito-Kurokawa type;
- Howe-Piatetski-Shapiro type;
- one dimensional type.

The representations  $\sigma_k$  are the archimedean components of automorphic representations of the Saito-Kurokawa type.

# Multiplicity Formula

We can determine the multiplicity of  $\sigma_k$  for paramodular subgroups of prime level.

## Proposition

Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma^{\text{para}}(p)$  be a paramodular subgroup of prime level, then the multiplicity of  $\sigma_k$  in  $\mathcal{A}^2(\Gamma \backslash Sp(4, \mathbb{R}))$  is:

- $\dim S_{2k-2}(SL(2, \mathbb{Z})) + \dim S_{2k-2}(\Gamma_0(p))^{new,+}$  if  $k$  is odd;
- $\dim S_{2k-2}(\Gamma_0(p))^{new,-}$  if  $k$  is even.

## Theorem (D. Arapura)

*The Hodge conjecture holds for the universal genus 2 curve.*

## Theorem

*The Hodge conjecture holds for the self-fiber product of the universal genus 2 curve, as well as for the universal abelian surface (and any compactification thereof), over  $\Gamma^{\text{para}}(p)\backslash\mathfrak{H}_2$  when  $p = 1, 2, 3, 5$ .*

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a compactification of the universal genus four Picard curve over an arithmetic quotient of  $\mathbb{B}^3$ . Then the Hodge conjecture holds for  $X$ .*

# Further Questions

## Question

*For  $p = 7$ , we have a real Hodge class. Is this a rational class? If so, is there a geometric interpretation of this class?*

## Question

*Could we study paramodular subgroups of all levels at the same time?*

THANK YOU!